

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,748	06/18/2001	Kunio Shiota	04853.0074	8762
22852	7590 08/07/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	8
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Dohn S. Brusca The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	
Office Action Summary Examin r John S. Brusca 1631 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
John S. Brusca The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
Period f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	·
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2002	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.6 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/881,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This restriction requirement reflects the preliminary amendment filed 23 October 2002 which was filed in response to a telephonic restriction requirement on 16 September 2002.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1, 3, and 5, drawn to a method of identifying cells, tissues, or nuclei by comparison of DNA methylation patterns, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - 2. Claim 4, drawn to a program that compares DNA methylation patterns, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions 1 and 2 are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method of Group 1 could be done manually.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Jean Fordis on 16 September 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1, 3, and newly filed claim 5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office

Application/Control Number: 09/881,748

Art Unit: 1631

action. Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicant's reiteration of their oral election of Group 1 in Paper No. 7, a preliminary amendment filed 23 October 2002 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Priority

8. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/881,748 Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

§ 2172.01. The omitted step is: a step of assessing the development of a certain type of cell, tissue, or nucleus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duffy.

The claims are drawn to a method of comparison of DNA methylation patterns of different cells. In some embodiments the comparison serves to identify types of cells, the methylation patterns are in gene regions, and the developmental state of the cell is determined.

Duffy shows in the abstract a method of comparison of DNA methylation patterns of cells. In some embodiments the methylation pattern is at a coding or regulatory region of a gene. In some embodiments the method is used as a diagnostic test to determine cancerous cell types. Duffy provides guidance in column 11 to use cells that are cancer cells, and to compare such cells with normal type cells from analogous tissues. Duffy provides working examples of their method in columns 21-28.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 703 308-4231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703 872-9306. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9306 for regular communications and 703 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

John S. Brusca Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

jsb August 5, 2003